



Telephone: (517) 373-5383

Fax: (517) 373-1986

Senate Bill 359 (as reported without amendment)

Sponsor: Senator Sue Shink

Committee: Finance, Insurance, and Consumer Protection

CONTENT

The bill would enact the "Personal Privacy Data Act" to establish consumers' rights related to the collection and use of personal data. It also would establish requirements of collectors and processors of personal data. Among other requirements, a collector would have to obtain consent from a consumer before processing the consumer's personal data and provide a privacy notice concerning the purpose of that data processing. The bill prescribes the scope of its provisions, specifying that its requirements would not apply to State agencies or collectors of medical data governed by the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, or to other specified data. The bill would allow the Attorney General and consumers to initiate civil actions for violations and would create the Data Broker Registry and two funds for administration of the bill's provisions.

The bill would take effect one year after its enactment.

BRIEF RATIONALE

According to testimony before the Senate Committee on Finance, Insurance, and Consumer Protection, data collection is one of the biggest industries in the world. Reportedly, personal data is bought, sold, and aggregated without the knowledge or permission of the people referenced in the data, and inaccuracies and data leaks can have harmful impacts on these people. Accordingly, it has been suggested that the entities collecting personal data be required to obtain consent to collect the data and be legally responsible for keeping the data safe.

PREVIOUS LEGISLATION

(This section does not provide a comprehensive account of previous legislative efforts on this subject matter.)

The bill is a reintroduction of Senate Bill 659 of the 2023-2024 Legislative Session, Senate Bill 659 passed the Senate and was referred to the House Committee on Government Operations but received no further action.

Legislative Analyst: Nathan Leaman

FISCAL IMPACT

The bill would have an indeterminate fiscal impact on the Department of Attorney General and a minor, negative fiscal impact on State circuit courts. The Attorney General would incur expenses to create and post an online registry for data brokers; however, the bill would allow the Attorney General the discretion to adjust the registration fees to cover this expense. The fees would go into the Data Broker Registry Fund and could be used by the Attorney General to maintain the registry. The Fund would not lapse into the General Fund at the end of the fiscal year. Maintenance of the online registry is likely to cost less than its initial setup, so it could be possible that such fees for data brokers could be adjusted down in subsequent years after initial setup. The fees also would depend on the number of data brokers that registered.

Page 1 of 2 sb359/2526 It is not known how many data brokers currently operate in Michigan. For comparison, Vermont and California created similar registries in 2018 and 2019, respectively, and as of July 2021, there were 348 registry entries in Vermont and 444 in California. Vermont charges a \$100 annual registry fee.

The Attorney General also would incur investigation and litigation costs under the bill to the degree the Attorney General pursued violators of the bill. Similar to the regulatory structure for registration fees, civil fines of \$5,000 for a failure to cooperate with an Attorney General investigation and civil fines of \$7,500 for a violation of the bill would be deposited into the proposed Consumer Privacy Fund. The Attorney General would use the Fund to offset the costs to enforce the bill. Lastly, civil action filing fees for the Attorney General would be waived under the bill. This would save some minor litigation costs for the Attorney General, but circuit courts would not collect those fees.

The bill likely would not have a significant fiscal impact on the Department of Treasury. It is unlikely that the average balance of the Consumer Privacy Fund or the Data Broker Registry Fund would exceed \$1.0 million during a fiscal year. Associated costs with managing funds would be relatively low and current appropriations likely would be sufficient.

Date Completed: 6-16-25 Fiscal Analyst: Elizabeth Raczkowski

Michael Siracuse

SAS\Floor\sb359

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.