

# HOUSE BILL NO. 5920

March 16, 2022, Introduced by Reps. Rabhi, LaGrand, Sowerby, Calley, Aiyash, Bolden, Stone, Kupp, Brixie, Brenda Carter, Ellison, Hood, Scott, Hope, Cambensy, Steenland, Breen, Brann and Yancey and referred to the Committee on Judiciary.

A bill to amend 1972 PA 348, entitled

"An act to regulate relationships between landlords and tenants relative to rental agreements for rental units; to regulate the payment, repayment, use and investment of security deposits; to provide for commencement and termination inventories of rental units; to provide for termination arrangements relative to rental units; to provide for legal remedies; and to provide penalties,"

(MCL 554.601 to 554.616) by amending the title and by adding section 2a.

**THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN ENACT:**

**1** TITLE  
**2** An act to regulate relationships between landlords and tenants

1 relative to rental agreements for rental units; to regulate the  
2 payment, repayment, use, and investment of security deposits; to  
3 provide for commencement and termination inventories of rental  
4 units; to provide for termination arrangements relative to rental  
5 units; to provide for legal remedies; **to prohibit certain**  
6 **disclosures from prospective tenants;** and to provide penalties.

7 **Sec. 2a. Beginning on the effective date of the amendatory act**  
8 **that added this section, a landlord shall not require a prospective**  
9 **tenant to disclose any of the following:**

10 (a) A conviction set aside under 1965 PA 213, MCL 780.621 to  
11 780.624.

12 (b) A finding of juvenile delinquency under 18 USC 5031 to  
13 5043.

14 (c) Participation in a diversion program for juveniles.

15 (d) The assignment of youthful trainee status under the Holmes  
16 youthful trainee act, as provided in sections 11 to 15 of chapter  
17 II of the code of criminal procedure, 1927 PA 175, MCL 762.11 to  
18 762.15.

19 (e) The entry of a judgment or order of disposition by a court  
20 of another state that states or is based on a finding that a  
21 juvenile violated a law of another state that would have been a  
22 criminal offense if committed by an adult in that state.