SUBSTITUTE FOR

HOUSE BILL NO. 5223

A bill to amend 1976 PA 451, entitled

"The revised school code,"

by amending sections 1249 and 1249a (MCL 380.1249 and 380.1249a), section 1249 as amended and section 1249a as added by 2011 PA 102, and by adding section 1531j.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN ENACT:

Sec. 1249. (1) Not later than September 1, 2011, and subject to subsection (9), (7), with the involvement of teachers and school administrators, the board of a school district or intermediate school district or board of directors of a public school academy shall adopt and implement for all teachers and school administrators a rigorous, transparent, and fair performance evaluation system that does all of the following:

H02980'13 * (H-4)

(a) Evaluates the teacher's or school administrator's job
 performance at least annually while providing timely and
 constructive feedback.

4 (b) Establishes clear approaches to measuring student growth
5 and provides teachers and school administrators with relevant data
6 on student growth.

(c) Evaluates a teacher's or school administrator's job 7 performance, using multiple rating categories that take into 8 account data on student growth as a significant factor. For these 9 10 purposes, student growth shall be measured by national, state, or 11 local assessments and other objective criteria. If the performance 12 evaluation system implemented by a school district, intermediate 13 school district, or public school academy under this section does 14 not already include the rating of teachers as highly effective, effective, minimally effective, and ineffective, then the school 15 district, intermediate school district, or public school academy 16 17 shall revise the performance evaluation system within 60 days after 18 the effective date of the amendatory act that added this sentence 19 NOT LATER THAN SEPTEMBER 19, 2011 to ensure that it rates teachers 20 as highly effective, effective, minimally effective, or ineffective. 21

(d) Uses the evaluations, at a minimum, to inform decisionsregarding all of the following:

24 (i) The effectiveness of teachers and school administrators,25 ensuring that they are given ample opportunities for improvement.

26 (*ii*) Promotion, retention, and development of teachers and27 school administrators, including providing relevant coaching,

TAV

2

H02980'13 * (H-4)

1 instruction support, or professional development.

2 (*iii*) Whether to grant tenure or full certification, or both, to
3 teachers and school administrators using rigorous standards and
4 streamlined, transparent, and fair procedures.

5 (*iv*) Removing ineffective tenured and untenured teachers and
6 school administrators after they have had ample opportunities to
7 improve, and ensuring that these decisions are made using rigorous
8 standards and streamlined, transparent, and fair procedures.

9 (2) Beginning with the 2013-2014-2014-2015 school year, the
10 board of a school district or intermediate school district or board
11 of directors of a public school academy shall ensure that the
12 performance evaluation system for teachers meets all of the
13 following:

14 (a) The performance evaluation system shall include at least
15 an annual year-end evaluation for all teachers. An annual year-end
16 evaluation shall meet all of the following:

17 (i) For the annual year-end evaluation for the 2013-2014-2014-18 2015, 2015-2016, AND 2016-2017 school year, YEARS, at least 25% of 19 the annual year-end evaluation shall be based on student growth and 20 assessment data. For BEGINNING WITH the annual year-end evaluation 21 for the 2014-2015-2017-2018 school year, at least 40% of the annual 22 year-end evaluation shall be based on student growth and assessment 23 data. Beginning with the annual year end evaluation for the 2015-24 2016 school year, at least 50% of the annual year end evaluation 25 shall be based on student growth and assessment data. All

26 (*ii*) BEGINNING IN 2015-2016, 1/2 OF THE student growth and
27 assessment data FOR TEACHERS IN CORE CONTENT AREAS IN GRADES AND

H02980'13 * (H-4)

TAV

House Bill No. 5223 (H-4) as amended May 14, 2014 SUBJECTS FOR WHICH STUDENT GROWTH DATA ARE AVAILABLE shall be 1 2 measured using the STATE student growth assessment tool, that is 3 required under legislation enacted by the legislature under 4 subsection (6) after review of the recommendations contained in the 5 report of the governor's council on educator effectiveness submitted under subsection (5).WHICH SHALL MEET THE REQUIREMENTS 6 7 UNDER SUBSECTION (6). SUBJECT TO SUBPARAGRAPH (iv), FOR TEACHERS IN 8 OTHER SUBJECT AREAS [AND ALL SPECIAL EDUCATION TEACHERS 9 10], A SCHOOL DISTRICT, INTERMEDIATE 11 12 SCHOOL DISTRICT, OR PUBLIC SCHOOL ACADEMY MAY USE STATE-PROVIDED GROWTH DATA FOR UP TO 1/2 OF THE TEACHER'S STUDENT GROWTH AND 13 14 ASSESSMENT DATA OR MAY USE 1 OR MORE LOCALLY DETERMINED STUDENT 15 MEASURES AND ASSESSMENTS WITH VALID GROWTH MEASUREMENTS AS DESCRIBED IN SUBPARAGRAPH (iii) FOR ALL OF THE TEACHER'S STUDENT 16 17 GROWTH AND ASSESSMENT DATA. (iii) SUBJECT TO SUBPARAGRAPH (iv), THE PORTION OF A TEACHER'S 18 STUDENT GROWTH AND ASSESSMENT DATA THAT IS NOT BASED ON STATE-19 20 PROVIDED DATA AS DESCRIBED IN SUBPARAGRAPH (ii) SHALL BE BASED ON 1 OR MORE LOCALLY DETERMINED STUDENT MEASURES AND ASSESSMENTS WITH 21 22 VALID GROWTH MEASUREMENTS, WHICH MAY INCLUDE STUDENT LEARNING 23 OBJECTIVES OR INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM GOALS. THESE LOCALLY DETERMINED STUDENT MEASURES AND ASSESSMENTS MAY EITHER BE LOCALLY 24 DEVELOPED OR CREATED BY A VENDOR. THE LOCALLY DETERMINED STUDENT 25 MEASURES AND ASSESSMENTS SHALL BE USED CONSISTENTLY AMONG THE 26

27 SCHOOLS OPERATED BY A SCHOOL DISTRICT OR PUBLIC SCHOOL ACADEMY SO

H02980'13 * (H-4)

4

THAT ALL SIMILARLY SITUATED TEACHERS ARE EVALUATED USING THE SAME
 MEASURES AND ASSESSMENTS.

3 (*iv*) IF THERE IS A REASONABLE CONNECTION OF THE CORE CONTENT TO
4 THE TEACHER'S ACTUAL TEACHING ASSIGNMENT, SCHOOL-LEVEL GROWTH GOALS
5 MAY BE USED FOR AN INDIVIDUAL TEACHER'S EVALUATION. HOWEVER,
6 SCHOOL-LEVEL GROWTH GOALS MAY NOT COMPRISE MORE THAN 5% OF THE
7 INDIVIDUAL TEACHER'S OVERALL EVALUATION.

8 (v) (*ii*)—If there are student growth and assessment data 9 available for a teacher for at least 3 school years, the annual 10 year-end evaluation shall be based on the student growth and 11 assessment data for the most recent 3-consecutive-school-year 12 period. If there are not student growth and assessment data 13 available for a teacher for at least 3 school years, the annual 14 year-end evaluation shall be based on all student growth and assessment data that are available for the teacher. 15

16 (vi) (iii) The annual year-end evaluation shall include specific 17 performance goals that will assist in improving effectiveness for 18 the next school year and are developed by the school administrator 19 or his or her designee conducting the evaluation, in consultation 20 with the teacher, and any recommended training identified by the 21 school administrator or designee, in consultation with the teacher, 22 that would assist the teacher in meeting these goals. For a teacher 23 described in subdivision (b), the school administrator or designee 24 shall develop, in consultation with the teacher, an individualized 25 development plan that includes these goals and training and is 26 designed to assist the teacher to improve his or her effectiveness. 27 (b) The performance evaluation system shall include a midyear

TAV

1 progress report for a teacher who is in the first year of the 2 probationary period prescribed by section 1 of article II of 1937 (Ex Sess) PA 4, MCL 38.81, or who received a rating of minimally 3 4 effective or ineffective in his or her most recent annual year-end 5 evaluation. The midyear progress report shall be used as a supplemental tool to gauge a teacher's improvement from the 6 preceding school year and to assist a teacher to improve. All of 7 the following apply to the midyear progress report: 8

9 (i) The midyear progress report shall be based at least in part10 on student achievement.

(*ii*) The midyear progress report shall be aligned with the
 teacher's individualized development plan under subdivision
 (a) (*iii*). (A) (*vi*).

14 (iii) The midyear progress report shall include specific performance goals for the remainder of the school year that are 15 developed by the school administrator conducting the annual year-16 17 end evaluation or his or her designee and any recommended training 18 identified by the school administrator or designee that would 19 assist the teacher in meeting these goals. At the midyear progress 20 report, the school administrator or designee shall develop, in 21 consultation with the teacher, a written improvement plan that includes these goals and training and is designed to assist the 22 23 teacher to improve his or her rating.

24 (*iv*) The midyear progress report shall not take the place of an25 annual year-end evaluation.

26 (c) The performance evaluation system shall include classroom27 observations to assist in the performance evaluations. All of the

TAV

House Bill No. 5223 (H-4) as amended May 14, 2014 1 following apply to these classroom observations:

2 (i) Except as provided in this subdivision, the manner in which
3 a classroom observation is conducted shall be prescribed in the
4 evaluation tool for teachers described in subdivision (d).

5 (ii) A classroom observation shall include a review of the
6 teacher's lesson plan and the state curriculum standard being used
7 in the lesson and a review of pupil engagement in the lesson.

8 (iii) A classroom observation does not have to be for an entire9 class period.

10 (*iv*) Unless a teacher has received a rating of effective or
11 highly effective on his or her 2 most recent annual year-end
12 evaluations, there shall be [multiple_AT LEAST 2] classroom observations of the

13 teacher each school year. AT LEAST 1 OBSERVATION MUST BE

14 UNSCHEDULED.

(v) A SCHOOL DISTRICT, INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT, OR PUBLIC 15 SCHOOL ACADEMY SHALL ENSURE THAT AN INDIVIDUAL ACTING AS AN 16 OBSERVER RECEIVES TRAINING FROM THE VENDOR OR A TRAINING PROVIDER 17 18 THAT HAS A CONTRACT WITH THE VENDOR TO PROVIDE TRAINING USING A 19 VENDOR-APPROVED TRAINING PROGRAM FOR THE EVALUATION TOOL THAT IS 20 USED BY THE SCHOOL DISTRICT, INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT, OR 21 PUBLIC SCHOOL ACADEMY. A SCHOOL DISTRICT, INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL 22 DISTRICT, OR PUBLIC SCHOOL ACADEMY SHALL ENSURE THAT AN INDIVIDUAL ACTING AS AN OBSERVER RECEIVES TRAINING IN COACHING, PROVIDING 23 24 FEEDBACK, AND RATER RELIABILITY. THE INDIVIDUAL SHOULD RECEIVE TRAINING IN COACHING, PROVIDING FEEDBACK, AND RATER RELIABILITY AT 25 LEAST ONCE EVERY 3 YEARS. THE SCHOOL DISTRICT, INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL 26 DISTRICT, OR PUBLIC SCHOOL ACADEMY SHALL ALSO PROVIDE INFORMATION 27

7

1 TO TEACHERS ON THE EVALUATION TOOL AND HOW IT IS USED.

(vi) THE SCHOOL ADMINISTRATOR RESPONSIBLE FOR THE TEACHER'S
PERFORMANCE EVALUATION SHALL CONDUCT AT LEAST 1 OF THE
OBSERVATIONS. OTHER OBSERVATIONS MAY BE CONDUCTED BY ANOTHER
OBSERVER WHO IS TRAINED IN THE USE OF THE EVALUATION TOOL. THIS
OTHER OBSERVER MAY BE A TEACHER LEADER.

7 (vii) A SCHOOL DISTRICT, INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT, OR
8 PUBLIC SCHOOL ACADEMY SHALL ENSURE THAT, WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER EACH
9 OBSERVATION, THE TEACHER IS PROVIDED WITH FEEDBACK FROM THE
10 OBSERVATION.

11 (d) For the purposes of conducting annual year-end evaluations 12 under the performance evaluation system, the THE PORTION OF A TEACHER'S ANNUAL YEAR-END EVALUATION THAT IS NOT BASED ON STUDENT 13 GROWTH AND ASSESSMENT DATA AS PROVIDED IN SUBDIVISION (A) SHALL BE 14 BASED PRIMARILY ON A TEACHER'S PERFORMANCE AS MEASURED BY THE 15 EVALUATION TOOL ADOPTED BY THE DISTRICT. BY THE BEGINNING OF THE 16 17 2015-2016 SCHOOL YEAR, THE school district, intermediate school 18 district, or public school academy shall adopt and implement 1 OR 19 MORE OF the state APPROVED evaluation tool TOOLS for teachers that 20 is required under legislation enacted by the legislature under 21 subsection (6) after review of the recommendations contained in the 22 report of the governor's council on educator effectiveness submitted under subsection (5). AS PROVIDED UNDER SUBSECTIONS (3) 23 TO (5). However, if a school district, intermediate school 24 25 district, or public school academy has a 1 OR MORE local evaluation 26 tool TOOLS for teachers that is consistent with the state 27 evaluation tool, AND THE SCHOOL DISTRICT, INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL

H02980'13 * (H-4)

TAV

DISTRICT, OR PUBLIC SCHOOL ACADEMY COMPLIES WITH SUBSECTION (6),
 the school district, intermediate school district, or public school
 academy may conduct annual year-end evaluations for teachers using
 that local evaluation tool.1 OR MORE TOOLS. THE EVALUATION TOOLS
 SHALL BE USED CONSISTENTLY AMONG THE SCHOOLS OPERATED BY A SCHOOL
 DISTRICT OR PUBLIC SCHOOL ACADEMY SO THAT ALL SIMILARLY SITUATED
 TEACHERS ARE EVALUATED USING THE SAME EVALUATION TOOL.

8 (E) THE PORTION OF A TEACHER'S EVALUATION NOT MEASURED USING 9 GROWTH, AS PROVIDED IN SUBDIVISION (A), OR USING THE DISTRICT-10 ADOPTED EVALUATION TOOL, AS PROVIDED IN SUBDIVISION (D), SHALL 11 INCORPORATE AT LEAST PUPIL AND PARENT FEEDBACK AND CRITERIA 12 ENUMERATED IN SECTION 1248(1)(B)(*i*) TO (*iii*) THAT ARE NOT OTHERWISE 13 EVALUATED UNDER SUBDIVISIONS (A) AND (B).

(F) (e) The performance evaluation system shall assign an
effectiveness rating to each teacher of highly effective,
effective, minimally effective, or ineffective, based on his or her
score on the annual year-end evaluation described in this
subsection.

19 (G) (f) As part of the performance evaluation system, and in 20 addition to the requirements of section 1526, a school district, 21 intermediate school district, or public school academy is 22 encouraged to SHALL assign a mentor or coach to each teacher who is 23 described in subdivision (b).

(H) (g) The performance evaluation system may allow for
exemption of student growth data for a particular pupil for a
school year upon the recommendation of the school administrator
conducting the annual year-end evaluation or his or her designee

9

and approval of the school district superintendent or his or her
 designee, intermediate superintendent or his or her designee, or
 chief administrator of the public school academy, as applicable.

4 (I) (h) The performance evaluation system shall provide that, 5 if a teacher is rated as ineffective on 3 consecutive annual yearend evaluations, the school district, public school academy, or 6 intermediate school district shall dismiss the teacher from his or 7 her employment. HOWEVER, THIS SUBDIVISION APPLIES ONLY IF THE 3 8 CONSECUTIVE ANNUAL YEAR-END EVALUATIONS ARE CONDUCTED USING THE 9 SAME EVALUATION FRAMEWORK AND UNDER THE SAME PERFORMANCE EVALUATION 10 11 SYSTEM. This subdivision does not affect the ability of a school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy to 12 dismiss an ineffective teacher from his or her employment 13 regardless of whether the teacher is rated as ineffective on 3 14 consecutive annual year-end evaluations. 15

16 (J) (i) The performance evaluation system shall provide that, 17 if a teacher is rated as highly effective on 3 consecutive annual 18 year-end evaluations, the school district, intermediate school 19 district, or public school academy may choose to conduct a year-end 20 evaluation biennially instead of annually. However, if a teacher is 21 not rated as highly effective on 1 of these biennial year-end 22 evaluations, the teacher shall again be provided with annual year-23 end evaluations.

(K) (j) The performance evaluation system shall provide that,
if a teacher who is not in a probationary period prescribed by
section 1 of article II of 1937 (Ex Sess) PA 4, MCL 38.81, is rated
as ineffective on an annual year-end evaluation, the teacher may

H02980'13 * (H-4)

TAV

1 request a review of the evaluation and the rating by the school 2 district superintendent, intermediate superintendent, or chief administrator of the public school academy, as applicable. The 3 4 request for a review must be submitted in writing within 20 days after the teacher is informed of the rating. Upon receipt of the 5 6 request, the school district superintendent, intermediate superintendent, or chief administrator of the public school 7 academy, as applicable, shall review the evaluation and rating and 8 may make any modifications as appropriate based on his or her 9 review. However, the performance evaluation system shall not allow 10 11 for a review as described in this subdivision more than twice in a 12 3-school-year period. 13 (3) Beginning with the 2013-2014 school year, the board of a school district or intermediate school district or board of 14 directors of a public school academy shall ensure that the 15 performance evaluation system for building-level school 16

17 administrators and for central office-level school administrators

18 who are regularly involved in instructional matters meets all of

19 the following:

20 (a) The performance evaluation system shall include at least 21 an annual year-end evaluation for all school administrators described in this subsection by the school district superintendent 22 23 or his or her designee, intermediate superintendent or his or her 24 designee, or chief administrator of the public school academy, as 25 applicable, except that a superintendent or chief administrator 26 shall be evaluated by the board or board of directors. 27 (b) For the annual year end evaluation for the 2013-2014

11

school year, at least 25% of the annual year-end evaluation shall 1 2 be based on student growth and assessment data. For the annual year-end evaluation for the 2014-2015 school year, at least 40% of 3 the annual year-end evaluation shall be based on student growth and 4 5 assessment data. Beginning with the annual year end evaluation for the 2015-2016 school year, at least 50% of the annual year-end 6 evaluation shall be based on student growth and assessment data. 7 The student growth and assessment data to be used for the school 8 9 administrator annual year-end evaluation are the aggregate student growth and assessment data that are used in teacher annual year-end 10 evaluations in each school in which the school administrator works 11 12 as an administrator or, for a central-office level school 13 administrator, for the entire school district or intermediate school district. 14 (c) The portion of the annual year-end evaluation that is not 15 based on student growth and assessment data shall be based on at 16 least the following for each school in which the school 17 administrator works as an administrator or, for a central-office 18 level school administrator, for the entire school district or 19 intermediate school district: 20 21 (i) If the school administrator conducts teacher performance 22 evaluations, the school administrator's training and proficiency in using the evaluation tool for teachers described in subsection 23 24 (2)(d), including a random sampling of his or her teacher 25 performance evaluations to assess the quality of the school administrator's input in the teacher performance evaluation system. 26 27 If the school administrator designates another person to conduct

H02980'13 * (H-4)

12

1 teacher performance evaluations, the evaluation of the school administrator on this factor shall be based on the designee's 2 training and proficiency in using the evaluation tool for teachers 3 described in subsection (2)(d), including a random sampling of the 4 designee's teacher performance evaluations to assess the quality of 5 the designee's input in the teacher performance evaluation system, 6 with the designee's performance to be counted as if it were the 7 school administrator personally conducting the teacher performance 8 9 evaluations.

- 10 (*ii*) The progress made by the school or school district in
- 11 meeting the goals set forth in the school's school improvement plan

12 or the school district's school improvement plans.

13 (*iii*) Pupil attendance in the school or school district.

14 (*iv*) Student, parent, and teacher feedback, and other

15 information considered pertinent by the superintendent or other

16 school administrator conducting the performance evaluation or the

17 board or board of directors.

18 (d) For the purposes of conducting performance evaluations

19 under the performance evaluation system, the school district,

20 intermediate school district, or public school academy shall adopt

- 21 and implement the state evaluation tool for school administrators
- 22 described in this subsection that is required under legislation

23 enacted by the legislature under subsection (6) after review of the

- 24 recommendations contained in the report of the governor's council
- 25 on educator effectiveness submitted under subsection (5). However,
- 26 if a school district, intermediate school district, or public
- 27 school academy has a local evaluation tool for school

H02980'13 * (H-4)

administrators described in this subsection that is consistent with 1 the state evaluation tool, the school district, intermediate school 2 district, or public school academy may conduct performance 3 4 evaluations for school administrators using that local evaluation tool. 5 (e) The performance evaluation system shall assign an 6 effectiveness rating to each school administrator described in this 7 subsection of highly effective, effective, minimally effective, or 8 ineffective, based on his or her score on the evaluation tool 9 described in subdivision (d). 10 11 (f) The performance evaluation system shall ensure that if a 12 school administrator described in this subsection is rated as 13 minimally effective or ineffective, the person or persons 14 conducting the evaluation shall develop and require the school administrator to implement an improvement plan to correct the 15 deficiencies. The improvement plan shall recommend professional 16 17 development opportunities and other measures designed to improve 18 the rating of the school administrator on his or her next annual 19 year-end evaluation. (g) The performance evaluation system shall provide that, if a 20 21 school administrator described in this subsection is rated as ineffective on 3 consecutive annual year-end evaluations, the 22 school district, public school academy, or intermediate school 23 district shall dismiss the school administrator from his or her 24 employment. However, this subdivision applies only if the 3 25 26 consecutive annual year-end evaluations are conducted using the 27 same evaluation tool and under the same performance evaluation

system. This subdivision does not affect the ability of a school 1 district, intermediate school district, or public school academy to 2 dismiss an ineffective school administrator from his or her 3 employment regardless of whether the school administrator is rated 4 5 as ineffective on 3 consecutive annual year-end evaluations. (h) The performance evaluation system shall provide that, if a 6 school administrator is rated as highly effective on 3 consecutive 7 annual year-end evaluations, the school district, intermediate 8 school district, or public school academy may choose to conduct a 9 year-end evaluation biennially instead of annually. However, if a 10 11 school administrator is not rated as highly effective on 1 of these 12 biennial year end evaluations, the school administrator shall again 13 be provided with annual year-end evaluations. (4) The governor's council on educator effectiveness is 14 created as a temporary commission described in section 4 of article 15 V of the state constitution of 1963. All of the following apply to 16 the governor's council on educator effectiveness: 17 (a) The governor's council on educator effectiveness shall 18 19 consist of the following 5 voting members: (*i*) The governor shall appoint 3 members. 20 (*ii*) The senate majority leader shall appoint 1 member. 21 (*iii*) The speaker of the house of representatives shall appoint 22 23 1 member. (b) In addition to the members appointed under subdivision 24

- 25 (a), the superintendent of public instruction or his or her
- 26 designee shall serve as a nonvoting member.
- 27 (c) The members appointed under subdivision (a), and the

H02980'13 * (H-4)

designee of the superintendent of public instruction if he or she 1 appoints a designee, shall have expertise in 1 or more of the 2 following areas: psychometrics, measurement, performance-based 3 educator evaluation models, educator effectiveness, or development 4 of educator evaluation frameworks in other states. 5 (d) Not later than October 31, 2011, the governor's council on 6 educator effectiveness shall contract with 1 or more additional 7 experts in the areas described in subdivision (c) as the council 8 9 considers necessary. (e) The governor shall appoint an advisory committee for the 10 11 governor's council on educator effectiveness to provide input on 12 the council's recommendations. The advisory committee shall consist 13 of public school teachers, public school administrators, and parents of public school pupils. 14 (f) The governor's office shall provide staffing and support 15 for the governor's council on educator effectiveness. 16 (5) Not later than April 30, 2012, the governor's council on 17 educator effectiveness shall submit to the state board, the 18 governor, and the legislature a report that identifies and 19 recommends all of the following for the purposes of this section 20 21 and that includes recommendations on evaluation processes and other 22 matters related to the purposes of this section: 23 - (a) A student growth and assessment tool. The student growth 24 and assessment tool shall meet all of the following: (i) Is a value-added model that takes into account student 25 achievement and assessment data, and is based on an assessment tool 26 27 that has been determined to be reliable and valid for the purposes

1 of measuring value-added data.

2 <u>(*ii*) In addition to measuring student growth in the core</u>

- 3 subject areas of mathematics, science, English language arts, and
- 4 social science, will measure student growth in other subject areas.
- 5 (*iii*) Complies with all current state and federal law for
- 6 students with a disability.
- 7 (*iv*) Has at least a pre- and post-test.
- 8 (v) Is able to be used for pupils of all achievement levels.
- 9 (b) A state evaluation tool for teachers. All of the following
- 10 apply to this recommendation:
- 11 (i) In addition to the student growth and assessment tool, the
- 12 recommended state evaluation tool for teachers may include, but is
- 13 not limited to, instructional leadership abilities, teacher and
- 14 pupil attendance, professional contributions, training, progress
- 15 report achievement, school improvement plan progress, peer input,
- 16 and pupil and parent feedback.
- 17 (*ii*) The council shall ensure that the recommended state
- 18 evaluation tool for teachers will allow all special education
- 19 teachers to be rated.
- 20 (*iii*) The council shall seek input from school districts,
- 21 intermediate school districts, and public school academies that
- 22 have already developed and implemented successful, effective
- 23 performance evaluation systems.
- 24 (c) A state evaluation tool for school administrators
- 25 described in subsection (3). In addition to the student growth and
- 26 assessment tool, the recommended state evaluation tool for these
- 27 school administrators may include, but is not limited to, teacher

progress, peer input, and pupil and parent feedback. 3 (d) For the purposes of the recommended state evaluation tools 4 for teachers and school administrators under subdivisions (b) and 5 (c), recommended parameters for the effectiveness rating categories 6 for teachers under subsection (2) (e) and for school administrators 7 under subsection (3)(e). 8 9 (e) Recommended changes to be made in the requirements for a professional education teaching certificate that will ensure that a 10 11 teacher is not required to complete additional postsecondary credit 12 hours beyond the credit hours required for a provisional teaching certificate. 13 (f) A process for evaluating and approving local evaluation 14 tools for teachers under subsection (2)(d) and school 15 administrators under subsection (3)(d). 16 17 (6) It is the intent of the legislature to review the report 18 submitted by the governor's council on educator effectiveness under 19 subsection (5) and to enact appropriate legislation to put into 20 place a statewide performance evaluation system taking into 21 consideration the recommendations contained in the report. 22 (7) If all of the following apply for a public school operated

- 23 by a school district, intermediate school district, or public
- 24 school academy, then the school district, intermediate school
- 25 district, or public school academy is not required to comply with
- 26 subsection (2) or (3) for that public school:
- 27 (a) As of the effective date of this subsection, the school

H02980'13 * (H-4)

TAV

and pupil attendance, graduation rates, professional contributions,

training, progress report achievement, school improvement plan

1

| 1 | district, intermediate school district, or public school academy |
|----|---|
| 2 | has already implemented and is currently using a performance |
| 3 | evaluation system for that public school that meets all of the |
| 4 | following requirements: |
| 5 | (<i>i</i>) Under the system, the most significant portion of a |
| 6 | teacher's or school administrator's evaluation is based on student |
| 7 | growth and assessment data, which may include value-added measures. |
| 8 | (<i>ii</i>) The system uses research-based measures to determine |
| 9 | student growth, which may be measured by standards-based, |
| 10 | nationally normed assessments. |
| 11 | (iii) The system determines professional competence through |
| 12 | multiple direct observations of classroom practices and |
| 13 | professional practices throughout the school year. |
| 14 | (<i>iv</i>) Under the system, teacher effectiveness and ratings, as |
| 15 | measured by student achievement and growth data, are factored into |
| 16 | teacher retention, promotion, and termination decisions. |
| 17 | (v) Under the system, teacher and school administrator |
| 18 | performance evaluation results are used to inform teacher |
| 19 | professional development for the succeeding year. |
| 20 | (vi) The system ensures that teachers and school administrators |
| 21 | are evaluated at least annually. |
| 22 | (b) The school district, intermediate school district, or |
| 23 | public school academy notifies the governor's council on educator |
| 24 | effectiveness by November 1, 2011 that it is exempt under this |
| 25 | subsection from the requirements of subsections (2) and (3). |
| 26 | (c) The school district, intermediate school district, or |
| 27 | public school academy posts a description of its evaluation system |
| | |

H02980'13 * (H-4)

1 on its website.

(8) If, after the effective date of this subsection, a school 2 district, intermediate school district, or public school academy 3 begins operating a new public school, or implements a new 4 performance evaluation system for a public school it operates, and 5 all of the following apply, then the school district, intermediate 6 school district, or public school academy is not required to comply 7 with subsection (2) or (3) for that public school: 8 9 (a) The performance evaluation system adopted and implemented for that public school replicates and is identical to the 10 11 performance evaluation system of a public school that is exempt 12 under subsection (7). (b) The school district, intermediate school district, or 13 public school academy posts a description of the performance 14 15 evaluation system on its website. (3) SUBJECT TO SUBSECTIONS (4) TO (6), FOR THE PURPOSES OF 16 EVALUATING TEACHER PERFORMANCE AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (2), A 17 SCHOOL DISTRICT, INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT, OR PUBLIC SCHOOL 18 ACADEMY SHALL USE 1 OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING EVALUATION TOOLS:

20 (A) THE CHARLOTTE DANIELSON FRAMEWORK FOR TEACHING.

21 (B) THE R. MARZANO TEACHER EVALUATION MODEL.

(C) THE THOUGHTFUL CLASSROOM. 22

19

(D) 5 DIMENSIONS OF TEACHING AND LEARNING. 23

(4) THE DEPARTMENT MAY DESIGNATE 1 OR MORE OTHER EVALUATION 24 TOOLS AS ACCEPTABLE FOR USE UNDER THIS SECTION IF THE EVALUATION 25 26 TOOL MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS FOR LOCALLY DEVELOPED EVALUATION TOOLS 27 AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (6). IF THE DEPARTMENT DESIGNATES AN

H02980'13 * (H-4)

EVALUATION TOOL AS ACCEPTABLE, A SCHOOL DISTRICT, INTERMEDIATE 1 2 SCHOOL DISTRICT, OR PUBLIC SCHOOL ACADEMY MAY USE THAT EVALUATION 3 TOOL FOR THE PURPOSES OF EVALUATING TEACHER PERFORMANCE AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (2). IF AT ANY POINT THE DEPARTMENT DETERMINES THAT 1 4 5 OF THE APPROVED EVALUATION TOOLS IDENTIFIED IN THIS SUBSECTION 6 FAILS TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS FOR LOCALLY DEVELOPED EVALUATION TOOLS AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (6), THE DEPARTMENT MAY REVOKE THE 7 DESIGNATION OF THAT EVALUATION TOOL AS ACCEPTABLE FOR USE UNDER 8 9 THIS SECTION.

10 (5) A SCHOOL DISTRICT, INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT, OR PUBLIC 11 SCHOOL ACADEMY MAY USE 1 OR MORE ADAPTATIONS OR MODIFICATIONS OF AN 12 EVALUATION TOOL THAT IS ACCEPTABLE FOR USE UNDER SUBSECTION (3) OR 13 (4) FOR THE PURPOSES OF EVALUATING TEACHER PERFORMANCE AS PROVIDED 14 IN SUBSECTION (2) IF THE ADAPTATIONS OR MODIFICATIONS MEET ALL OF 15 THE FOLLOWING AND THE SCHOOL DISTRICT, INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT, OR PUBLIC SCHOOL ACADEMY PROVIDES ASSURANCE OF ALL OF THE 16 17 FOLLOWING ON ITS PUBLIC WEBSITE:

18 (A) THE ADAPTATIONS OR MODIFICATIONS DO NOT COMPROMISE THE
19 VALIDITY OF EITHER THE EVALUATION TOOL OR THE EVALUATION PROCESS.

(B) THE ADAPTATIONS OR MODIFICATIONS HAVE UNDERGONE REVIEW BY
A PERSON WITH EXPERTISE IN TEACHER EVALUATIONS AND THE POSTED
ASSURANCES INCLUDE THE IDENTITY AND QUALIFICATIONS OF THE PERSON
WHO CONDUCTED THE REVIEW.

(C) THE SCHOOL DISTRICT, INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT, OR
PUBLIC SCHOOL ACADEMY ENSURES THAT ALL EVALUATORS AND OBSERVERS
RECEIVE INITIAL AND FOLLOW-UP TRAINING FROM THE VENDOR OF THE
EVALUATION TOOL THAT IS BEING MODIFIED OR FROM A TRAINING PROVIDER

H02980'13 * (H-4)

TAV

THAT HAS A CONTRACT WITH THE VENDOR TO PROVIDE TRAINING USING A
 VENDOR-APPROVED TRAINING PROGRAM FOR THE EVALUATION TOOL THAT IS
 BEING MODIFIED.

4 (6) A SCHOOL DISTRICT, INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT, OR PUBLIC
5 SCHOOL ACADEMY MAY USE 1 OR MORE LOCALLY DEVELOPED EVALUATION TOOLS
6 FOR THE PURPOSES OF EVALUATING TEACHER PERFORMANCE AS PROVIDED IN
7 SUBSECTION (2) IF THE SCHOOL DISTRICT, INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL
8 DISTRICT, OR PUBLIC SCHOOL ACADEMY PROVIDES ALL OF THE FOLLOWING
9 INFORMATION ABOUT THE LOCALLY DEVELOPED EVALUATION TOOL ON ITS
10 PUBLIC WEBSITE:

11 (A) THE RESEARCH BASE FOR THE EVALUATION FRAMEWORK,
12 INSTRUMENT, AND PROCESS.

13 (B) THE IDENTITY AND QUALIFICATIONS OF THE AUTHOR OR AUTHORS.

14 (C) EITHER EVIDENCE OF RELIABILITY, VALIDITY, AND EFFICACY OR
15 A PLAN FOR DEVELOPING THAT EVIDENCE.

16 (D) THE EVALUATION FRAMEWORKS AND RUBRICS WITH DETAILED
 17 DESCRIPTORS FOR EACH PERFORMANCE LEVEL ON KEY SUMMATIVE INDICATORS.

(E) A DESCRIPTION OF THE PROCESSES FOR CONDUCTING CLASSROOM
OBSERVATIONS, COLLECTING EVIDENCE, CONDUCTING EVALUATION
CONFERENCES, DEVELOPING PERFORMANCE RATINGS, AND DEVELOPING
PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT PLANS.

(F) A DESCRIPTION OF THE PLAN FOR PROVIDING ALL EVALUATORS AND
OBSERVERS WITH INITIAL AND FOLLOW-UP TRAINING AND THE IDENTITY AND
QUALIFICATIONS OF THE PROVIDERS OF THAT TRAINING.

25 (7) (9) If a collective bargaining agreement is in effect for
26 teachers or school administrators of a school district, public
27 school academy, or intermediate school district as of the effective

22

House Bill No. 5223 (H-4) as amended May 14, 2014 date of the 2011 amendatory act that amended this subsection, JULY 19, 2011, and if that collective bargaining agreement prevents compliance with subsection (1), then subsection (1) does not apply to that school district, public school academy, or intermediate school district until after the expiration of that collective bargaining agreement. (10) A school district, intermediate school district, or

8 public school academy shall continue to conduct the evaluations for

9 school principals that are currently required by the department

10 through the 2010-2011 school year. At the end of the 2010-2011

11 school year, a school district, intermediate school district, or

12 public school academy shall report the most recently completed or

determined "effectiveness label" from that evaluation for each 13

principal who is in place for 2010-2011, in a form and manner 14 15 prescribed by the department.

[(8) NOT LATER THAN AUGUST 1, 2018, THE DEPARTMENT SHALL PREPARE AND SUBMIT TO THE LEGISLATURE A REPORT ON THE IMPACT OF THE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION SYSTEMS REQUIRED UNDER SUBSECTION (2) AND SECTION 1249B. THE REPORT SHALL CONTAIN AN ANALYSIS OF THE IMPACT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SYSTEMS ON EACH OF THE FOLLOWING AND THE STATISTICAL INCREASE OR DECREASE, STATEWIDE AND BY SCHOOL DISTRICT, INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT, AND PUBLIC SCHOOL ACADEMY, FOR EACH OF THE FOLLOWING FOR EACH OF THE 2015-2016, 2016-2017, AND 2017-2018 SCHOOL YEARS: THIRD GRADE READING PROFICIENCY, GRADUATION RATES, STUDENT GROWTH, COLLEGE ENTRANCE EXAMINATION SCORES, AND SCORES ON THE MICHIGAN MERIT EXAMINATION UNDER SECTION 1279G.

16

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

(9)] AS USED IN THIS SECTION: (A) "CORE ACADEMIC SUBJECT" MEANS 1 OF THE CORE ACADEMIC 17

18 SUBJECTS AS DEFINED IN 20 USC 7801.

19 [(B) "TEACHER", FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION ONLY, MEANS AN 20 INDIVIDUAL HOLDING A VALID MICHIGAN TEACHING CERTIFICATE, ENDORSEMENT, 21 OR AUTHORIZATION TO TEACH IN THE PUBLIC SCHOOLS OF THIS STATE, OR WHO IS OTHERWISE AUTHORIZED BY THE DEPARTMENT TO TEACH IN THE PUBLIC SCHOOLS OF 22 THIS STATE, AND WHO IS ASSIGNED BY A PUBLIC SCHOOL TO DELIVER DIRECT 23 24 INSTRUCTION TO PUPILS IN ANY OF GRADES K TO 12. 25

Sec. 1249a. (1) BEGINNING WITH THE 2016-2017 SCHOOL YEAR,

SUBJECT TO SUBSECTION (2), A SCHOOL DISTRICT, INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL 27

DISTRICT, OR PUBLIC SCHOOL ACADEMY SHALL NOT ASSIGN A PUPIL TO BE
 TAUGHT IN THE SAME SUBJECT AREA FOR 2 CONSECUTIVE YEARS BY A
 TEACHER WHO HAS BEEN RATED AS INEFFECTIVE ON HIS OR HER 2 MOST
 RECENT ANNUAL YEAR-END EVALUATIONS UNDER SECTION 1249.

(2) Beginning in 2015-2016, WITH THE 2016-2017 SCHOOL YEAR, if 5 6 a pupil is assigned to be taught by a teacher who has been rated as 7 ineffective on his or her 2 most recent annual year-end evaluations under section 1249, SCHOOL DISTRICT, INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT, 8 OR PUBLIC SCHOOL ACADEMY IS UNABLE TO COMPLY WITH SUBSECTION (1) 9 AND PLANS TO ASSIGN A PUPIL TO BE TAUGHT IN THE SAME SUBJECT AREA 10 11 FOR 2 CONSECUTIVE YEARS BY A TEACHER WHO HAS BEEN RATED AS 12 INEFFECTIVE ON HIS OR HER 2 MOST RECENT ANNUAL YEAR-END EVALUATIONS 13 UNDER SECTION 1249, the board of the school district or 14 intermediate school district or board of directors of the public 15 school academy in which the pupil is enrolled shall notify the pupil's parent or legal guardian that the BOARD OR BOARD OF 16 DIRECTORS IS UNABLE TO COMPLY WITH SUBSECTION (1) AND THAT THE 17 18 pupil has been assigned to BE TAUGHT IN THE SAME SUBJECT AREA FOR A 19 SECOND CONSECUTIVE YEAR BY a teacher who has been rated as 20 ineffective on his or her 2 most recent annual year-end 21 evaluations. The notification shall be in writing, shall be 22 delivered to the parent or legal guardian not later than July 15 23 immediately preceding the beginning of the school year for which 24 the pupil is assigned to the teacher, and shall identify the 25 teacher who is the subject of the notification. INCLUDE AN 26 EXPLANATION OF WHY THE BOARD OR BOARD OF DIRECTORS IS UNABLE TO 27 COMPLY WITH SUBSECTION (1).

24

SEC. 1531J. NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER PROVISION OF THIS ACT OR 1 2 A RULE TO THE CONTRARY, BEGINNING JULY 1, 2015, THE SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION SHALL NOT ISSUE AN INITIAL PROFESSIONAL 3 4 TEACHING CERTIFICATE TO AN INDIVIDUAL UNLESS THE INDIVIDUAL MEETS 1 5 OF THE FOLLOWING:

(A) THE INDIVIDUAL WAS RATED AS EITHER EFFECTIVE OR HIGHLY 6 EFFECTIVE ON HIS OR HER ANNUAL YEAR-END PERFORMANCE EVALUATION 7 UNDER SECTION 1249 FOR THE 3 CONSECUTIVE SCHOOL YEARS IMMEDIATELY 8 PRECEDING HIS OR HER APPLICATION FOR THE PROFESSIONAL TEACHING 9 10 CERTIFICATE.

11 (B) THE INDIVIDUAL WAS RATED AS EITHER EFFECTIVE OR HIGHLY 12 EFFECTIVE ON HIS OR HER ANNUAL YEAR-END PERFORMANCE EVALUATION UNDER SECTION 1249 FOR AT LEAST 3 NONCONSECUTIVE SCHOOL YEARS 13 BEFORE HIS OR HER APPLICATION FOR THE PROFESSIONAL TEACHING 14 CERTIFICATE AND SUBMITS A RECOMMENDATION FROM THE CHIEF SCHOOL 15 ADMINISTRATOR OF THE SCHOOL AT WHICH HE OR SHE IS CURRENTLY 16 EMPLOYED THAT HE OR SHE BE ISSUED A PROFESSIONAL TEACHING 17 18 CERTIFICATE.

19 Enacting section 1. This amendatory act does not take effect 20 unless House Bill No. 5224 of the 97th Legislature is enacted into 21 law.

H02980'13 * (H-4) Final Page