1

SENATE BILL No. 1363

November 8, 2012, Introduced by Senator KAHN and referred to the Committee on Energy and Technology.

A bill to amend 2010 PA 297, entitled "Energy for economic development act of 2010," by amending section 5 (MCL 460.995).

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN ENACT:

- Sec. 5. (1) If an electric utility has executed a written 2 contract with an industrial customer providing for an increase in
- 3 connected load at a single premises of at least 70,200,000 kilowatt
- 4 hours over 12 consecutive months pursuant to an economic
- 5 development tariff provision approved by the commission as of
- October 6, 2008, the commission shall not take any action that
- 7 would alter the rates, terms, conditions, duration, or
- enforceability of that tariff. Such prohibited actions include, but
 - are not limited to, an order that would eliminate, phase out, or

KHS 06823'12

- 1 otherwise modify the economic development tariff provision in a
- 2 manner that would allow or require an electric utility to alter the
- 3 rates, terms, conditions, duration, or enforceability of a contract
- 4 entered into pursuant to the economic development tariff.
- 5 (2) IF AN ELECTRIC UTILITY HAS EXECUTED A WRITTEN CONTRACT
- 6 WITH A CUSTOMER AS DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION (1), THAT ELECTRIC
- 7 UTILITY AND CUSTOMER HAVE THE RIGHT TO NEGOTIATE A NEW CONTRACT TO
- 8 PROVIDE THE CUSTOMER WITH THE SAME OR SIMILAR RATES FOLLOWING THE
- 9 EXPIRATION OF THE INITIAL CONTRACT. THE COMMISSION SHALL NOT TAKE
- 10 ANY ACTION WHICH WOULD DISAPPROVE, MODIFY, OR OTHERWISE LIMIT THE
- 11 ENFORCEABILITY OF THAT CONTRACT.
- 12 (3) (2)—If an electric utility has executed a written contract
- 13 with a customer as described in subsection (1) OR SUBSECTION (2),
- 14 the commission shall, after the effective date of this act,
- 15 DECEMBER 16, 2010, allow the utility to fully recover in a general
- 16 rate case using a projected test year from all of its other
- 17 electric ratepayers in all classes the full amount of the
- 18 difference, if any, between the total projected revenue pursuant to
- 19 the economic development tariff OR NEW CONTRACT and the utility's
- 20 cost to provide service to that customer pursuant to the economic
- 21 development tariff OR NEW CONTRACT, both as determined by the
- 22 commission using the method in the most recent general rate case
- 23 for the utility. The utility's recovery of that difference shall be
- 24 based on the cost allocation method identified in section 11(1) of
- 25 1939 PA 3, MCL 460.11.
- 26 (4) (3)—If there is a conflict between this act and any other
- 27 act of this state, this act controls.

06823'12 KHS