10

HOUSE BILL No. 5276

January 24, 2012, Introduced by Reps. Townsend, Rutledge, Talabi, Brunner, Bauer, Lipton, McCann, Bledsoe, Darany and Hovey-Wright and referred to the Committee on Regulatory Reform.

A bill to amend 1978 PA 368, entitled "Public health code,"

by amending section 13405 (MCL 333.13405), as added by 2008 PA 368.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN ENACT:

- Sec. 13405. (1) Before allowing a customer to use a tanning device, the owner or operator of any tanning facility shall require the customer to sign a written statement acknowledging that the customer has read and understood the written statement required under section 13403(1) and agrees to use protective eyewear. The owner or operator of the tanning facility shall do all of the following:
 - (a) Require a customer to sign the statement at least once in a 1-year period.
 - (b) Retain the written statement for not less than 1 year.
 - (c) Make the written statement available for inspection upon

03667'11 DAM

- 1 request of a law enforcement officer.
- 2 (2) In the case of a customer under 18 years of age, the
- 3 written statement described in subsection (1) shall also be signed
- 4 by the customer's parent or legal quardian while the parent or
- 5 legal guardian is physically present at the tanning facility and
- 6 shall be signed in the presence of the owner or operator. THE OWNER
- 7 OR OPERATOR OF A TANNING FACILITY SHALL NOT ALLOW A MINOR TO USE A
- 8 TANNING DEVICE.
- 9 (3) AN OWNER OR OPERATOR OF A TANNING FACILITY THAT VIOLATES
- 10 SUBSECTION (2) IS GUILTY OF A MISDEMEANOR PUNISHABLE BY A FINE OF
- 11 NOT MORE THAN \$150.00 FOR EACH VIOLATION. PROOF THAT THE OWNER, THE
- 12 OPERATOR, OR AN EMPLOYEE OF THE TANNING FACILITY DEMANDED AND WAS
- 13 SHOWN A DRIVER LICENSE OR IDENTIFICATION CARD ISSUED BY THIS OR
- 14 ANOTHER STATE, A MILITARY IDENTIFICATION CARD, A PASSPORT, OR OTHER
- 15 GOVERNMENT-ISSUED IDENTIFICATION THAT CONTAINS THE NAME, AGE, AND A
- 16 PHOTOGRAPH OF THE INDIVIDUAL, AND PROOF THAT THE IDENTIFICATION
- 17 INDICATED THAT THE INDIVIDUAL WAS NOT A MINOR, IS A DEFENSE TO AN
- 18 ACTION FOR A VIOLATION OF SUBSECTION (2).