Legislative Analysis



Mitchell Bean, Director Phone: (517) 373-8080 http://www.house.mi.gov/hfa

HEALTH SERVICES: ALLOW CONSCIENTIOUS OBJECTION

House Bill 5006 (Substitute H-3) Sponsor: Rep. Randy Richardville

House Bill 5276 (Substitute H-2) Sponsor: Rep. John Gleason

House Bills 5277 (Substitute H-2) and 5278 (Substitute H-2)

Sponsor: Rep. Scott Hummel

Committee: Health Policy

Complete to 3-31-04

A SUMMARY OF HOUSE BILLS 5006 AND HOUSE BILLS 5276-5278 AS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE 3-30-04

As a package, the bills would allow, under certain conditions, a health care provider or health facility to refuse to provide or participate in a health care service and allow health insurers, health maintenance organizations, and Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan to refuse to offer or provide a health care benefit.

House Bill 5006 would create the Conscientious Objector Policy Act to allow a health care provider to object, as a matter of conscience, to providing or participating in a health care service on professional, ethical, religious, or moral grounds. A "health care provider" would include members of professions regulated under Article 15 of the Public Health Code except for veterinarians and sanitarians. "Health care service" would be defined as the provision or withdrawal of, or research or experimentation involving, a medical diagnosis, treatment, procedure, diagnostic test, device, medication, drug, or other substance intended to affect the physical or mental condition of an individual, but would not include the provision of a contraceptive medication or device. The bill would:

- Provide a procedure for notifying an employer of a conscientious objection.
- Prohibit an employer from refusing employment or staff privileges to a provider who asserted an objection to providing or participating in a health service.
- Prohibit a medical school from denying admission to a person who filed a conscientious objection.
- Specify that a provider's objection could not be the basis for civil liability, criminal action, administrative or licensure action, or termination of employment or refusal of staff privileges at a health facility.
- Provide a number of circumstances under which the protections afforded under the bill to a provider would not apply.

- Allow an employer to terminate a provider's employment, with at least 60 days advance notice, if the service objected to constituted a regular or substantial portion of the provider's current and defined position.
- Allow a provider to bring a civil action, including a petition for injunctive relief, if he or she was penalized or suffered discrimination for asserting a conscientious objection to providing or participating in a health service.
- Make a violation of the bill a state civil infraction and establish penalties.

<u>House Bill 5276</u> would create a new act to allow a "health facility" to withdraw or withhold or refuse to provide or participate in a health care service on professional, ethical, moral, or religious grounds reflected in its organizational documents, bylaws, charter, or an adopted mission statement.

A facility could not assert such an objection to a service if the facility routinely provided or participated in the service and the objection was based on a disagreement with a member of a health profession employed by, under contract to, or granted privileges by the facility regarding the medical appropriateness of a service for a specific patient if the patient had already consented to the provision of the service. Nor could an objection be made in the event of a public health emergency. A facility's objection to providing or participating in a health care service could not be a basis for either of the following: civil, criminal, or administrative liability; or, with one stated exception, eligibility discrimination against the facility in a grant, contract, or program.

"Health facility" would be defined in the bill and include a health facility or agency as defined in the Public Health Code, a private physician office, medical clinic, or a public or private institution, teaching institution, pharmacy, corporation, partnership, limited liability company, sole proprietorship or other legal entity that provided a health care service to an individual. "Health care service" would mean the provision or withdrawal of, or research or experimentation involving, a medical diagnosis, treatment, procedure, device, diagnostic test, medication, drug, or other substance intended to affect the physical or mental condition of an individual. "Participating in" a service would include counseling, referring, performing, administering, prescribing, dispensing, treating, withholding, withdrawing, diagnosing, testing, evaluating, training, researching, preparing, or providing medical advice or material or physical assistance in a health care service.

House Bills 5277 and 5278 would allow a health insurer, notwithstanding any other provision of law, to refuse to offer or provide a health care benefit on professional, ethical, moral, or religious grounds as reflected in its articles of incorporation or bylaws or an adopted mission statement. This would not apply to a health care benefit if the benefit was specifically covered under the certificate, contract, or policy. Further, the refusal to offer or provide a health care benefit could not be a basis for one or more of the following: civil, criminal, or administrative liability; or, with one stated exception, eligibility discrimination against the health care corporation in providing a certificate.

<u>House Bill 5277</u> would add a new section to the Nonprofit Health Care Corporation Reform Act (MCL 550.409a), which regulates Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan.

