



Romney Building, 10th Floor
Lansing, Michigan 48909
Phone: 517/373-6466

TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS TO RETIREMENT ACT

House Bill 6265 as introduced First Analysis (12-8-98)

Sponsor: Rep. Ilona Varga
Committee: Regulatory Affairs

THE APPARENT PROBLEM:

Public Act 58 of 1998 recodified the laws regulating the importation, delivery, and sale of alcoholic beverages and renamed the act from the Michigan Liquor Control Act to the Michigan Liquor Control Code of 1998. The State Employees' Retirement Act references the old liquor act in several sections. Legislation has been offered to amend those references to reflect the citation changes and name change of the act brought about by the recodification.

THE CONTENT OF THE BILL:

The bill would amend the State Employees' Retirement Act to update and revise citations to the Michigan Liquor Control Code of 1998 to reflect the changes brought about by that act's recent recodification.

MCL 38.171 and 38.19

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS:

Fiscal information is not available.

ARGUMENTS:

For:

The bill would make technical amendments to the State Employees' Retirement Act to update references to the Michigan Liquor Control Act, which was repealed and replaced by the Michigan Liquor Control Code of 1998 in Public Act 58 earlier this year. As the section numbers assigned to the new Liquor Control Code in the Michigan Compiled Laws are different than what is referenced in the retirement act, updating the references would aid individuals in finding the appropriate provisions in the new liquor code. As laws are recodified, it is a common practice to update references to those acts found in other statutes.

Response:

The bill is part of a 25-bill package that would amend a number of different acts to update and revise

references to reflect the recodification of the liquor laws. Two of the bills, House Bill 6260, which would amend the Home Rule City Act, and House Bill 6271, which would make a series of mostly technical and clarification amendments to the Michigan Liquor Control Code, have already passed the House. The remaining 22 bills in the package should also be acted on since they are technical in nature and do not represent substantive changes to the acts they would be amending.

POSITIONS:

There are no positions on the bill.

Analyst: S. Stutzky

■ This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan House staff for use by House members in their deliberations, and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.