

AS ADOPTED BY SENATE, SEPTEMBER 24, 2019

THIS RESOLUTION IS OFFERED TO COMPLY WITH MCL 51.76.

HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 9

Reps. Miller, Chirkun, Leutheuser, Coleman, Allor, Calley and Garza offered the following concurrent resolution:

1 A concurrent resolution relative to secondary road patrol
2 funds for counties providing road patrol services to cities and
3 villages.

4 Whereas, Section 77 of 1846 RS 14, MCL 51.77, provides funding
5 for county secondary road patrol services pursuant to an agreement
6 with the Office of Criminal Justice, which, pursuant to Executive
7 Order No. 1989 - 4, is now the Office of Highway Safety Planning
8 within the Department of State Police. An agreement also provides a
9 maintenance of law enforcement effort standard for counties
10 providing these secondary road patrol services, unless it is
11 recognized that the reduction was due to cuts in general services

1 due to economic conditions; and

2 Whereas, Section 76 of 1846 RS 14, MCL 51.76, permits road
3 patrol services to be provided by county sheriff departments to
4 cities and villages. This section also provides that a road patrol
5 agreement is void if the city or village reduces the number of
6 sworn law enforcement officers below the highest number employed at
7 any time within the immediately preceding 36 months, unless the
8 Michigan Legislature, by concurrent resolution, recognizes that the
9 reduction was due to cuts in general services due to economic
10 conditions; and

11 Whereas, Section 77 of 1846 RS 14, MCL 51.77, provides a
12 formula for funding county secondary road patrol services. This
13 formula permits road patrol services provided by county sheriff
14 departments to cities and villages to be eligible for secondary
15 road patrol funding as long as the city or village complies with
16 the MCL 51.76 law enforcement maintenance of effort requirement,
17 unless any reduction is recognized to be due to cuts in general
18 services due to economic conditions; and

19 Whereas, In all of Michigan's counties, cities, and villages,
20 general services have been reduced as a result of serious economic
21 difficulties. These reductions in services have occurred in direct
22 reaction to economic conditions; now, therefore, be it

23 Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate
24 concurring), That, for Fiscal Year 2020, counties, cities, and
25 villages in Michigan have been required to reduce general services
26 because of economic conditions and are not reducing law enforcement
27 services as stipulated in section 76 or 77 of 1846 RS 14; and be it
28 further

29 Resolved, That counties, cities, and villages have met the

- 1 necessary terms of their agreements for road patrol services and
- 2 secondary road patrol funding as they relate to maintenance of
- 3 effort.