



Senate Fiscal Agency
P. O. Box 30036
Lansing, Michigan 48909-7536

BILL



ANALYSIS

Telephone: (517) 373-5383
Fax: (517) 373-1986

Senate Bill 1348 (as introduced 10-17-12)
Sponsor: Senator Howard C. Walker
Committee: Outdoor Recreation and Tourism

Date Completed: 11-7-12

CONTENT

The bill would amend Parts 16 (Enforcement of Laws for Protection of Wild Birds, Wild Animals, and Fish) and 435 (Hunting and Fishing Licensing) of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act to do the following with respect to the Wildlife Violator Compact:

- **Require the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) to enforce the Compact and effectuate its purposes and intent.**
- **Authorize the DNR to withdraw from the Compact and adopt amendments to it, as provided in the Compact.**
- **Require the DNR to suspend the license privileges of a Michigan resident who failed to comply with the terms of a wildlife citation issued by another state that participated in the Compact.**
- **Require the DNR to suspend the license privileges of a Michigan resident who was convicted of a wildlife violation in another participating state, if the conviction would have resulted in a mandatory suspension had it occurred in Michigan.**
- **Allow the DNR to suspend the license privileges of any person whose privileges were suspended in any participating state, if the violation leading to the suspension would have led to a suspension under Michigan law.**
- **Require the DNR to give a person whose license privileges were suspended under the Compact an opportunity for an evidentiary hearing limited to the specified grounds.**
- **Require a person who requested a hearing to surrender to the DNR any licenses issued to him or her, and prescribe a misdemeanor penalty for failure to do so.**
- **Require the DNR to suspend the hunting, fishing, and trapping licenses of a person who failed to answer a citation or a notice to appear in court, or failed to comply with a court order or judgment within a prescribed time period.**

The Compact is described below, under **BACKGROUND**.

Compact Enforcement & Effectuation

The bill would require the DNR to enforce the Compact and do all things within the Department's jurisdiction that were appropriate in order to effectuate the Compact's purposes and intent.

On behalf of the State of Michigan, the DNR could do either of the following:

- Withdraw from the Compact under Article VIII.
- Adopt amendments to the Compact under Article IX.

(Under Article VIII, a participating state may withdraw from participation by official written notice to each participating state. Withdrawal becomes effective 90 days after the notice is given.

Article IX requires Compact amendments to be presented in resolution form to the Board of Compact Administrators. Amendments must be initiated by at least one participating state. Adoption of an amendment requires endorsement by all participating states, and becomes effective 30 days after the date of the last endorsement. A state's failure to respond within 120 days after receiving a proposed amendment constitutes endorsement.)

Suspension of License Privileges

Under the bill, pursuant to Article IV(a) of the Compact, if the DNR received notice from the licensing authority of an issuing state that a Michigan resident had failed to comply with the terms of a citation, the DNR would have to suspend the resident's license privileges.

(Under Article IV(a), upon receiving a report from the licensing authority of the issuing state reporting a violator's failure to comply with the terms of a citation, the home state's licensing authority must notify the violator and initiate a suspension action in accordance with the home state's suspension procedures, and suspend the violator's license privileges until satisfactory evidence of compliance with the terms of the citation is furnished by the issuing state. Due process safeguards must be accorded.)

Pursuant to Article IV(b), if the DNR received notice of a conviction of a Michigan resident from the licensing authority of an issuing state, the Department would be required to suspend the resident's license privileges if the conviction would have resulted in a mandatory suspension had it occurred in Michigan. The Department would be permitted to suspend the privileges if the conviction could have resulted in discretionary suspension had the conviction occurred in Michigan.

(Under Article IV(b), upon receiving a report of a conviction from the issuing state's licensing authority, the licensing authority of the home state must enter the conviction in its records and treat it as though it occurred in the home state for the purposes of the suspension of license privileges.)

Pursuant to Article V(a) of the Compact, if the DNR received notice of the suspension of any person's license privileges by a participating state, the Department would have to determine whether the violation leading to the suspension would have led to the suspension of license privileges under Michigan law in accordance with the Compact manual. If the Department determined that the person's privileges would have been suspended, the Department could suspend his or her privileges for the same period as imposed by the participating state, but not to exceed the maximum period allowed by Michigan law.

(Article V(a) requires each participating state to recognize the suspension of any person's license privileges by any participating state as though the violation resulting in the suspension had occurred in its state and would have been the basis for a mandatory suspension of privileges in its state.)

If the DNR suspended a person's license privileges pursuant to the Compact, the Department would have to give the person an opportunity for an evidentiary hearing under the Administrative Procedures Act limited to the following grounds:

- Whether the person failed to comply with the terms of a citation in another participating state under the Compact.

- Whether there was a conviction in another participating state and the conviction would have led to the suspension of license privileges under Michigan law, the conviction was on appeal in the participating state, or the alleged violator was not the proper party.
- Whether a participating state suspended the person's license privileges and the violation leading to the suspension would have led to the forfeiture of privileges under Michigan law, the conviction was on appeal in the participating state, or the alleged violator was not the proper party.

An evidentiary hearing would have to be requested within 20 days after the DNR sent the person notice of the suspension. The person would have to surrender to the Department any licenses issued to him or her under Part 435 within 10 days after the notice was sent. The DNR would have to send to any Michigan resident at his or her last known address, by first-class mail, notice of the suspension, the opportunity for an evidentiary hearing, and the obligation to surrender licenses.

A person who failed to surrender a license as required would be guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by imprisonment for a maximum of 90 days and/or a fine of at least \$25 but not more than \$250.

If a person were charged with, or convicted of, a violation of Part 435, or another law relative to hunting, fishing, or trapping, and he or she failed to answer a citation or a notice to appear in court, or for any matter pending, or failed to comply with an order or judgment of the court, the court immediately would have to give notice that if the person failed to appear within seven days after the notice was issued, or failed to comply with the order or judgment within 14 days after the notice was issued, the DNR would suspend his or her hunting, fishing, and trapping licenses.

If the person failed to appear or comply within the prescribed time period, the court immediately would have to inform the DNR, and the Department immediately would have to suspend the person's licenses and notify him or her of the suspension.

MCL 324.43559 et al.

BACKGROUND

The Wildlife Violator Compact is an agreement between member states that provides for information sharing regarding fish and game violators and reciprocal recognition of license suspensions. The Compact does the following:

- Requires participating states to treat residents and nonresidents alike in regard to issuing citations for violations of wildlife laws.
- Provides for participating states to recognize the suspension of violators' license privileges by other participating states.
- Allows violators' home states to recognize convictions that occur in other participating states.
- Establishes a Board of Compact Administrators, consisting of one representative from each participating state.
- Requires the Board to adopt procedures and forms, which must be contained in a Compact manual.
- Establishes procedures for states to enter into and withdraw from the Compact.

Michigan entered the Compact as authorized by Public Act 235 of 2004. According to the DNR, the other participating states are Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, New York, North Dakota,

Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

Legislative Analyst: Julie Cassidy

FISCAL IMPACT

The bill would have an indeterminate fiscal impact on State and local government. It would likely result in some minor increased costs related to evidentiary hearings that would be allowed under the bill, and related to the requirement that the Department of Natural Resources mail individuals convicted in other states notice of their license suspension, opportunity for an evidentiary hearing, and obligation to surrender any licenses.

In addition, the bill would create a misdemeanor for failure to surrender a license, which could result in minor, perhaps negligible, increases in costs for county jails and/or probation offices. Public libraries could realize some additional revenue from the fine of \$25 to \$250 that could be levied against individuals who failed to surrender their licenses.

Fiscal Analyst: Dan O'Connor
Josh Sefton

S1112\sb1348sa

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.