

## **HCR 30, As Adopted by Senate, December 17, 2009**

THIS RESOLUTION IS OFFERED TO COMPLY WITH MCL § 51.76

Reps. LeBlanc, Booher, Terry Brown, Durhal, Espinoza, Geiss, Gonzales, Gregory, Hammel, Hansen, Haugh, Robert Jones, Lemmons, Polidori, Sheltroun, Valentine, Byrnes, Byrum, Slavens, Rick Jones, Kowall, Marleau, Rogers and Walsh offered the following concurrent resolution:

### **House Concurrent Resolution No. 30.**

A concurrent resolution relative to secondary road patrol funds for counties providing road patrol services to cities, and villages.

Whereas, Section 77 of Chapter 14 of the Revised Statutes of 1846, being MCL § 51.77, as added by 1978 PA 416, being MCL § 51.77, provides funding for county secondary road patrol services pursuant to an agreement with the Office of Criminal Justice, which, pursuant to Executive Order No. 1989 – 4, is now the Office of Highway Safety Planning within the Department of State Police. An agreement also provides a maintenance of law enforcement effort standard for counties providing these secondary road patrol services, unless it is recognized that the reduction was due to cuts in general services due to economic conditions; and

Whereas, Section 76 of Chapter 14 of the Revised Statutes of 1846 (MCL § 51.76), permits road patrol services to be provided by county sheriff departments to cities and villages. This section also provides that a road patrol agreement is void if the city or village reduces the number of sworn law enforcement officers below the highest number employed at any time within the immediately preceding 36 months, unless the Michigan Legislature, by concurrent resolution, recognizes that the reduction was due to cuts in general services due to economic conditions; and

Whereas, Section 77 of Chapter 14 provides a formula for funding county secondary road patrol services. This formula permits road patrol services provided by county sheriff departments to cities and villages to be eligible for secondary road patrol funding as long as the city or village complies with the MCL § 51.76 law enforcement maintenance of effort requirement, unless any reduction is recognized to be due to cuts in general services due to economic conditions; and

Whereas, In all of Michigan's counties, cities, and villages, general services have been reduced as a result of serious economic difficulties. These reductions in services have occurred in direct reaction to economic conditions; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That counties, cities, and villages in Michigan have been required to reduce general services because of economic conditions and are not reducing law enforcement services as stipulated in sections 76 or 77 of Chapter 14 of the Revised Statutes of 1846, as added by 1978 PA 416; and be it further

Resolved, That counties, cities, and villages have met the necessary terms of their agreements for road patrol services and secondary road patrol funding as they relate to maintenance of effort.