HOUSE BILL No. 5583

January 24, 2006, Introduced by Reps. Cheeks, Condino, Vagnozzi, Alma Smith, Anderson, Espinoza, Brown, Meisner, Zelenko, Williams, Wojno, Lipsey, Donigan, Polidori, Tobocman, Farrah, Plakas, Gleason, Hood and Lemmons, III and referred to the Committee on Insurance.

A bill to amend 1956 PA 218, entitled

"The insurance code of 1956,"

by amending sections 2109, 2115, 2403, and 2603 (MCL 500.2109,

500.2115, 500.2403, and 500.2603), section 2115 as amended by 1980

PA 461 and section 2403 as amended by 1993 PA 200.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN ENACT:

Sec. 2109. -(1) All rates for automobile insurance and home

2 insurance shall be made in accordance with the following

3 provisions:

1

HOUSE BILL No. 5583

4 (a) Rates shall not be excessive, inadequate, or unfairly
5 discriminatory. A rate shall not be held to be excessive unless the
6 rate is unreasonably high for the insurance coverage provided. -and
7 a reasonable degree of competition does not exist for the insurance

1

to which the rate is applicable.

2 (b) A rate shall not be held to be inadequate unless the rate 3 is unreasonably low for the insurance coverage provided and the 4 continued use of the rate endangers the solvency of the insurer; or 5 unless the rate is unreasonably low for the insurance provided and the use of the rate has or will have the effect of destroying 6 competition among insurers, creating a monopoly, or causing a kind 7 of insurance to be unavailable to a significant number of 8 9 applicants who are in good faith entitled to procure that insurance 10 through ordinary methods.

2

11 (c) A rate for a coverage is unfairly discriminatory in 12 relation to another rate for the same coverage if the differential between the rates is not reasonably justified by differences in 13 14 losses, expenses, or both, or by differences in the uncertainty of 15 loss, for the individuals or risks to which the rates apply. A reasonable justification shall be supported by a reasonable 16 17 classification system; by sound actuarial principles when 18 applicable; and by actual and credible loss and expense statistics 19 or, in the case of new coverages and classifications, by reasonably 20 anticipated loss and expense experience. A rate is not unfairly discriminatory because it reflects differences in expenses for 21 individuals or risks with similar anticipated losses, or because it 22 reflects differences in losses for individuals or risks with 23 24 similar expenses.

25 (2) A determination concerning the existence of a reasonable
 26 degree of competition with respect to subsection (1)(a) shall take
 27 into account a reasonable spectrum of relevant economic tests,

1 including the number of insurers actively engaged in writing the insurance in question, the present availability of such insurance 2 compared to its availability in comparable past periods, the 3 4 underwriting return of that insurance over a period of time 5 sufficient to assure reliability in relation to the risk associated with that insurance, and the difficulty encountered by new insurers 6 in entering the market in order to compete for the writing of that 7 insurance. 8

9 Sec. 2115. (1) If as AS part of a decision in a proceeding 10 under section 2114, or in a separate proceeding on the 11 commissioner's own motion, held pursuant to Act No. 306 of the 12 Public Acts of 1969, as amended, the commissioner finds that a 13 reasonable degree of competition does not exist on a statewide 14 basis with respect to automobile insurance or home insurance, THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES ACT OF 1969, 1969 PA 306, MCL 24.201 TO 15 16 17 AUTOMOBILE OR HOME insurer which transacts that type of insurance 18 in this state to comply with the provisions of chapter 24 or 26, 19 as the case may be. , with respect to that insurance which was the 20 subject of the commissioner's finding. The order shall take effect not less than 90 nor more than 150 days after the order is issued. 21 On or after the effective date of an order issued under this 22 subsection, none of the provisions of this chapter -shall be IS 23 24 applicable to the insurance -which - THAT was the subject of the 25 order.

26 (2) After an order issued pursuant to subsection (1) has been
27 in effect for 1 year, -if the commissioner has reason to believe

1 that there would be a reasonable degree of price competition for the type of insurance affected by the order, THE COMMISSIONER, ON 2 HIS OR HER OWN MOTION, or - if, upon the petition of an insurer or 3 4 a resident of this state, there is a showing that there is reason 5 to believe that there would be a reasonable degree of price competition for that type of insurance, the commissioner shall MAY 6 hold a hearing pursuant to Act No. 306 of the Public Acts of 1969, 7 as amended, THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES ACT OF 1969, 1969 PA 8 9 306, MCL 24.201 TO 24.328, to determine - if a reasonable degree of 10 price competition would exist if WHETHER the order were no longer 11 ISSUED UNDER SUBSECTION (1) SHOULD REMAIN in effect. The hearing 12 shall be held upon not less than 20 days' written notice to each insurer subject to the order UNDER SUBSECTION (1) and upon not less 13 14 than 20 days' notice in not less than 3 newspapers of general 15 circulation within this state.

(3) If the commissioner finds after the hearing that -a16 reasonable degree of price competition would exist, AN ORDER 17 18 ISSUED UNDER SUBSECTION (1) SHOULD NOT REMAIN IN EFFECT, the 19 commissioner shall by order state when, not less than 90 nor more 20 than 150 days after issuance of a new order, the *preceding* order UNDER SUBSECTION (1) will no longer be effective. On and after the 21 effective date of an order issued under this subsection, the 22 provisions of this chapter shall be applicable to the type of 23 24 insurance -which - THAT was the subject of the order UNDER SUBSECTION (1). 25

26 Sec. 2403. (1) All rates shall be made in accordance with27 this section and all of the following:

DKH

4

1 (a) Due consideration shall be given to past and prospective 2 loss experience within and outside this state; to catastrophe hazards; to a reasonable margin for underwriting profit and 3 4 contingencies; to dividends, savings, or unabsorbed premium 5 deposits allowed or returned by insurers to their policyholders, members, or subscribers; to past and prospective expenses, both 6 countrywide and those specially applicable to this state; to 7 underwriting practice, judgment, and to all other relevant factors 8 9 within and outside this state. For worker's compensation insurance, 10 in determining the reasonableness of the margin for underwriting 11 profit and contingencies, consideration shall be given to all 12 after-tax investment profit or loss from unearned premium and loss reserves attributable to worker's compensation insurance, as well 13 14 as the factors used to determine the amount of reserves. For all 15 other kinds of insurance to which this chapter applies, all factors to which due consideration is given under this subdivision shall be 16 17 treated in a manner consistent with the laws of this state that 18 existed on December 28, 1981.

(b) The systems of expense provisions included in the rates for use by any insurer or group of insurers may differ from those of other insurers or groups of insurers to reflect the requirements of the operating methods of the insurer or group with respect to any kind of insurance, or with respect to any subdivision or combination thereof for which subdivision or combination separate expense provisions are applicable.

26 (c) Risks may be grouped by classifications for the27 establishment of rates and minimum premiums. Classification rates

DKH

5

may be modified to produce rates for individual risks in accordance
 with rating plans that measure variations in hazards, expense
 provisions, or both. The rating plans may measure any differences
 among risks that may have a probable effect upon losses or expenses
 as provided for in subdivision (a).

6 (d) Rates shall not be excessive, inadequate, or unfairly discriminatory. A rate shall not be held to be excessive unless the 7 rate is unreasonably high for the insurance coverage provided. - and 8 9 a reasonable degree of competition does not exist with respect to 10 the classification, kind, or type of risks to which the rate is 11 applicable. Except as otherwise provided in this subdivision, a 12 rate shall not be held to be inadequate unless the rate is unreasonably low for the insurance coverage provided and the 13 14 continued use of the rate endangers the solvency of the insurer; or 15 unless the rate is unreasonably low for the insurance coverage provided and the use of the rate has or will have the effect of 16 17 destroying competition among insurers, creating a monopoly, or 18 causing a kind of insurance to be unavailable to a significant 19 number of applicants who are in good faith entitled to procure the 20 insurance through ordinary methods. For commercial liability insurance a rate shall not be held to be inadequate unless the 21 rate, after consideration of investment income and marketing 22 programs and underwriting programs, is unreasonably low for the 23 24 insurance coverage provided and is insufficient to sustain projected losses and expenses; or unless the rate is unreasonably 25 low for the insurance coverage provided and the use of the rate has 26 27 or will have the effect of destroying competition among insurers,

creating a monopoly, or causing a kind of insurance to be 1 2 unavailable to a significant number of applicants who are in good 3 faith entitled to procure the insurance through ordinary methods. 4 As used in this subdivision, "commercial liability insurance" means 5 insurance that provides indemnification for commercial, industrial, professional, or business liabilities. For worker's compensation 6 7 insurance provided by an insurer that is controlled by a nonprofit health care corporation formed pursuant to the nonprofit health 8 9 care corporation reform act, Act No. 350 of the Public Acts of 10 1980, being sections 550.1101 to 550.1704 of the Michigan Compiled 11 Laws 1980 PA 350, MCL 550.1101 TO 550.1704, a rate shall not be 12 held to be inadequate unless the rate is unreasonably low for the insurance coverage provided. A rate for a coverage is unfairly 13 14 discriminatory in relation to another rate for the same coverage, 15 if the differential between the rates is not reasonably justified by differences in losses, expenses, or both, or by differences in 16 17 the uncertainty of loss for the individuals or risks to which the 18 rates apply. A reasonable justification shall be supported by a 19 reasonable classification system; by sound actuarial principles 20 when applicable; and by actual and credible loss and expense statistics or, in the case of new coverages and classifications, by 21 reasonably anticipated loss and expense experience. A rate is not 22 unfairly discriminatory because the rate reflects differences in 23 24 expenses for individuals or risks with similar anticipated losses, or because the rate reflects differences in losses for individuals 25 or risks with similar expenses. Rates are not unfairly 26 27 discriminatory if they are averaged broadly among persons insured

1 on a group, franchise, blanket policy, or similar basis.

2 (2) Except to the extent necessary to meet the provisions of
3 subsection (1)(d), uniformity among insurers in any matters within
4 the scope of this section is neither required nor prohibited.

5 Sec. 2603. (1) All rates shall be made in accordance with the6 following provisions:

(a) Due consideration shall be given to past and prospective 7 loss experience within and outside this state; to catastrophe 8 9 hazards; to a reasonable margin for underwriting profit and 10 contingencies; to dividends, savings, or unabsorbed premium 11 deposits allowed or returned by insurers to their policyholders, 12 members, or subscribers; to past and prospective expenses, both countrywide and those specially applicable to this state; and to 13 14 all other relevant factors within and outside this state. In the 15 case of fire insurance rates, consideration also shall be given to the experience of the fire insurance business during a period of 16 17 not less than the most recent 5-year period for which that experience is available. 18

(b) The systems of expense provisions included in the rates for use by any insurer or group of insurers may differ from those of other insurers or groups of insurers to reflect the requirements of the operating methods of the insurer or group with respect to any kind of insurance or with respect to any subdivision or combination thereof for which subdivision or combination separate expense provisions are applicable.

26 (c) Risks may be grouped by classifications for the27 establishment of rates and minimum premiums. Classification rates

DKH

8

may be modified to produce rates for individual risks in accordance
 with rating plans <u>which</u> THAT measure variations in hazards,
 expense provisions, or both. The rating plans may measure any
 differences among risks that may have a probable effect upon losses
 or expenses as provided for in subdivision (a).

6 (d) Rates shall not be excessive, inadequate, or unfairly discriminatory. A rate shall not be held to be excessive unless the 7 rate is unreasonably high for the insurance coverage provided. - and 8 9 a reasonable degree of competition does not exist with respect to 10 the classification, kind, or type of risks to which the rate is 11 applicable. A rate shall not be held to be inadequate unless the 12 rate is unreasonably low for the insurance coverage provided and the continued use of the rate endangers the solvency of the 13 14 insurer; or unless the rate is unreasonably low for the insurance 15 provided and the use of the rate has or will have the effect of 16 destroying competition among insurers, creating a monopoly, or 17 causing a kind of insurance to be unavailable to a significant 18 number of applicants who are in good faith entitled to procure the 19 insurance through ordinary methods. A rate for a coverage is 20 unfairly discriminatory in relation to another rate for the same coverage, if the differential between the rates is not reasonably 21 22 justified by differences in losses, expenses, or both, or by differences in the uncertainty of loss for the individuals or risks 23 to which the rates apply. A reasonable justification shall be 24 supported by a reasonable classification system; by sound actuarial 25 principles when applicable; and by actual and credible loss and 26 27 expense statistics or, in the case of new coverages and

9

1 classifications, by reasonably anticipated loss and expense experience. A rate is not unfairly discriminatory because the rate 2 3 reflects differences in expenses for individuals or risks with similar anticipated losses, or because the rate reflects 4 differences in losses for individuals or risks with similar 5 6 expenses. Rates are not unfairly discriminatory if they are averaged broadly among persons insured on a group, franchise, 7 blanket policy, or similar basis. 8

9 (2) Except to the extent necessary to meet the provisions of
10 subsection (1)(d), uniformity among insurers in any matters within
11 the scope of this section is neither required nor prohibited.