



Telephone: (517) 373-5383 Fax: (517) 373-1986 TDD: (517) 373-0543

House Bill 4042 (Substitute H-2 as passed by the House)

Sponsor: Representative Fulton Sheen

House Committee: Judiciary Senate Committee: Judiciary

Date Completed: 11-28-06

## **CONTENT**

The bill would amend the handgun licensure Act to specify that, beginning January 1, 2007, an applicant for renewal of a license to carry a concealed pistol would not have to be fingerprinted again, if both of the following conditions applied:

- -- The Department of State Police had established a system to save and maintain in its automated fingerprint identification system (AFIS) database all fingerprints that were submitted to the Department under the Act.
- -- The applicant's fingerprints had been submitted to and maintained by the Department for ongoing comparison with the AFIS database.

In addition, the Act provides that a license to carry a concealed pistol issued on or after July 1, 2003, but before July 1, 2006, is valid for five years and a license issued on or after July 1, 2006, is valid until the applicant's birthday that falls not less than four years or more than five years after the license is issued. The bill would delete the five-year expiration for a license issued on or after July 1, 2003, but before July 1, 2006. Under the bill, all licenses to carry a concealed pistol would be valid until the applicant's birthday that fell not less than four years or more than five years after issuance of the license.

MCL 28.425/ Legislative Analyst: Patrick Affholter

## **FISCAL IMPACT**

The bill would have an indeterminate fiscal impact on State and local government. Currently, the Department of State Police charges \$54 to process a set of fingerprints (\$30 for the State Police portion and \$24 for the FBI portion), and local police agencies may charge up to \$15 to take the prints and forward them to the State Police.

These fees were established to reflect the real and actual cost of the services performed. Under the bill, if certain conditions existed, fewer of the services would have to be performed.

Fiscal Analyst: Bruce Baker

## S0506\s4042sa

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.